



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

R2003-89
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

FEB 10 2003

In re

:
:
: DECISION ON
: PETITION FOR REGRADE
: UNDER 37 CFR 10.7(c)
:

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

(petitioner) petitions for regrading her answers to questions 11 and 15 of the morning section of the Registration Examination held on April 17, 2002. The petition is denied to the extent petitioner seeks a passing grade on the Registration Examination.

BACKGROUND

An applicant for registration to practice before the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) in patent cases must achieve a passing grade of 70 in both the morning and afternoon sections of the Registration Examination. Petitioner scored 68. On August 7, 2002, petitioner requested regrading, arguing that the model answers were incorrect.

As indicated in the instructions for requesting regrading of the Examination, in order to expedite a petitioner's appeal rights, a single final agency decision will be made regarding each request for regrade. The decision will be reviewable under 35 U.S.C. § 32. The Director of the USPTO, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 2(b)(2)(D) and 37 CFR 10.2 and 10.7, has delegated the authority to decide requests for regrade to the Director of Patent Legal Administration.

OPINION

Under 37 CFR 10.7(c), petitioner must establish any errors that occurred in the grading of the Examination. The directions state: " No points will be awarded for incorrect answers or unanswered questions." The burden is on petitioners to show that their chosen answers are the most correct answers.

The directions to the morning and afternoon sections state in part:

Do not assume any additional facts not presented in the questions. When answering each question, unless otherwise stated, assume that you are a registered patent practitioner. The most correct answer is the policy, practice, and procedure which must, shall, or should be followed in accordance with the U.S. patent statutes, the USPTO rules of practice and procedure, the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), and the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) articles and rules, unless modified by a court decision, a notice in the Official Gazette, or a notice in the Federal Register. There is only one most correct answer for each question. Where choices (A) through (D) are correct and choice (E) is "All of the above," the last choice (E) will be the most correct answer and the only answer which will be accepted. Where two or more choices are correct, the most correct

answer is the answer that refers to each and every one of the correct choices. Where a question includes a statement with one or more blanks or ends with a colon, select the answer from the choices given to complete the statement which would make the statement true. Unless otherwise explicitly stated, all references to patents or applications are to be understood as being U.S. patents or regular (non-provisional) utility applications for utility inventions only, as opposed to plant or design applications for plant and design inventions. Where the terms “USPTO” or “Office” are used in this examination, they mean the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

Petitioner has presented various arguments attacking the validity of the model answers. All of petitioner's arguments have been fully considered. Each question in the Examination is worth one point.

Petitioner originally scored 68. Contrary to petitioner's assertion that she needs only one more correct answer to pass the exam, petitioner needed two more correct answers to pass with a score of 70 because each question is worth one point. Petitioner has been awarded an additional point on the Examination for morning question 11. No credit has been awarded for morning question 15. Petitioner's arguments for question 15 are addressed individually below.

Morning question 15 reads as follows:

15. Able is a registered solo practitioner. Ben asks Able to prepare and prosecute an application for a utility patent. As part of the application, Able prepares a declaration and power of attorney, which Ben reviews and signs. Able files the application, the declaration, and power of attorney with the USPTO. Able quickly recognizes that help is necessary and contacts another registered practitioner, Chris, who often assists Able in such instances. Able, with Ben's consent, sends a proper associate power of attorney to the Office for Ben's application and directs that correspondence be sent to Chris. The examiner in the application takes up the application in the regular course of examination and sends out a rejection in an Office action. Chris sends a copy of the action to Ben to obtain Ben's comments on a proposed response. Unfortunately, after the first Office action, Able becomes terminally ill and dies. Ben does not know what to do, so Ben calls the examiner at the number on the Office action and explains that A died and Ben is worried how to proceed. Which of the following statement(s) is/are true?

(A) Chris should inform Ben that the Office will not correspond with both the registered representative and the applicant and therefore, Ben should not have any further contact with the Office and let Chris send in a proper response.

(B) Ben should send in a new power of attorney for anyone Ben intends to represent him before the Office.

(C) Ben should execute and sent to the USPTO a new power of attorney for any registered patent practitioner that Ben intends to have represent him before the Office.

(D) (B) and (C).

(E) None of the above.

15. The model answer: (C). MPEP § 406. Answer (C) is a true statement because the Ben may appoint a registered practitioner to represent him. Answer (A) is incorrect because the power of a principal attorney will be revoked or terminated by his or her death. Such a revocation or termination of the power of the principal attorney will also terminate the power of those appointed by the principal attorney. Therefore, Chris's associate power of attorney is revoked and Chris cannot continue representing Ben without a new power of attorney from Ben. Furthermore, the Office will send correspondence to both Chris and Ben in the event of notification of Able's death. (B) is not the best answer because it suggests Ben may appoint a non-practitioner to prosecute the application and because it does not require the power of attorney to be executed (*cf.* answer (C)). (D) is not the best answer because it includes (B). (E) is false because (C) is true.

Petitioner argues that answer (D) is correct. Petitioner contends that both answers (B) and (C) are correct since the applicant retained a registered practitioner in the first instance and that a registered practitioner would inherently know the additional information details given in answer (C).

Petitioner's arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive. The directions for the examination state "Do not assume any additional facts not presented in the questions." Petitioner's argument is based on additional facts not given in answer (B). Since "anyone" could include someone that is a non-registered practitioner, and is not limited to Chris or a registered practitioner, petitioner cannot make the assumption that Ben would appoint Chris. While petitioner has argued that it would be logical to continue the application represented by Chris, such action would still require the filing of a properly executed new power of attorney. In response to petitioner's argument that the question is not for a practitioner but is only for a person applying for a patent, the question is proper for a person who wishes to be registered to practice before the Office because the issue covered in the question is whether a practitioner would know the USPTO rules of practice and procedure concerning power of attorney. Petitioner is required to answer the question based on proper USPTO rules of practice and procedure. Filing a power of attorney to appoint someone who is not registered, an action suggested by petitioner in her argument, would not be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31. It would also not be the best interest for Ben because such paper would delay prosecution of the application and may reduce effective patent term of any patent issued from the application. Ben would still be required to take the same action as stated in answer (C), executing and sending a power of attorney for a registered practitioner to represent him before the Office. Accordingly, answer (D) is not correct because answer (B) is not correct and answer (C) is the most correct choice.

No error in grading has been shown. Petitioner's request for credit on this question is denied.

ORDER

For the reasons given above, one point has been added to petitioner's score on the Examination. Therefore, petitioner's score is 69. This score is insufficient to pass the Examination.

Upon consideration of the request for regrade to the Director of the USPTO, it is ORDERED that the request for a passing grade on the Examination is denied.

This is a final agency action.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert J. Spar", is positioned above the printed name and title.

Robert J. Spar
Director, Office of Patent Legal Administration
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy